Interview Summary	10/518,534	Hauser et al
	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	F. Parker	1792
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Fred Parker 1792	(3)	
(1) Fred Parker 1792 (2) Christopher Bullard LB	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5127/09		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) In applicant 2 in a		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 18,35,36		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>CY 30</u> 964 US		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:ಽಀಀೣೢ ಓರಡಿಂಬ್		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Discussed further clarificat	tion of applying i	narling layer
to marking field, and the effects discussed on Pages 4,6,8		
of the Specification.		
Would appear to overcome US'964; subject to update search.		
		·
Dark	•	

Application No.

Applicant(s)